

# Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/115454/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Rosado, Daniela, Perez-Losada, Marcos, Severino, Ricardo, Cable, Joanne  
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8510-7055> and Xavier, Raquel 2019.  
Characterization of the skin and gill microbiomes of the farmed seabass  
(*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Aquaculture* 500 , pp.  
57-64. file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.09.06...>  
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.09.063>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.  
See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# Characterization of the skin and gill microbiomes of the farmed seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and seabream (*Sparus aurata*)

Daniela Rosado<sup>a</sup>, Marcos Pérez-Losada<sup>a,b</sup>, Ricardo Severino<sup>c</sup>, Jo Cable<sup>d</sup>, Raquel Xavier<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CIBIO-InBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Campus Agrário de Vairão, Vairão 4485-661, Portugal.

<sup>b</sup> Computational Biology Institute, Milken Institute School of Public Health, George Washington University, Ashburn, VA 20147, USA.

<sup>c</sup> Piscicultura Vale da Lama, Sapal do Vale da Lama, Odiáxere, Lagos 8600-258, Portugal.

<sup>d</sup> School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK.

\*Corresponding author: Raquel Xavier. Email: raq.xavier@gmail.com. Phone: 00351252660400.

## Abstract

There is substantial evidence showing that the microbiome of teleosts plays a key role in host health and wellbeing. Aquaculture practices increase the risk of dysbiosis (i.e. microbial imbalance), which is known to facilitate pathogen infections. The skin and gills are the primary defense organs against pathogens, thus, characterizing their microbiome composition in farmed fish is pivotal for detecting potential alterations that may lead to disease susceptibility. Here, we assessed the skin and gill microbiomes of two of the most important adult fish species farmed in southern Europe, the seabass and the seabream, during winter months. We coupled next-generation sequencing (MiSeq) of the 16S rRNA V4 region with the DADA2 bioinformatic pipeline to assess microbial composition and structure. Variation in microbial alpha-diversity (intra-sample) and taxa proportions were assessed using analysis of variance. Differences in beta-diversity (between-sample) were tested using permutational multivariate analysis of variance. Microbiomes of both tissues (n=30 per species) identified 19 bacteria phyla, dominated by the phyla *Proteobacteria* (44 - 68%) and *Bacteroidetes* (15 - 37%); the families *Flavobacteriaceae* (11 - 28%), *Rhodobacteraceae* (4 - 8%) and *Vibrionaceae* (2 - 17%); and the genera *Rubritalea* (4 - 13%), *Pseudomonas* (4 - 8%) and the NS3a marine group (4 - 12%). Mean relative proportion of these taxa, some alpha-diversity indices and all beta-diversity distances varied significantly between tissues within and between species. ASVs belonging to the genera *Polaribacter* and *Vibrio*, which include several species that are pathogenic, were detected in the core microbiomes of seabass or seabream.

Keywords: aquaculture, 16S rRNA, microbiome, pathogens, fish farm

## 1 Introduction

Seminal studies conducted in mammals established a link between the microbiome and the host's innate immune response, with implications for host health and wellbeing (see for example reviews by Belkaid and Hand, 2014; Lynch and Peterson, 2016; Nelson et al., 2014). Furthermore, differences in microbial composition can account for differential disease susceptibility in humans (e.g. Börnigen et al., 2013; Dunn et al., 2016; Pérez-Losada et al., 2015; Pérez-Losada et al., 2018) and teleosts (reviewed by Kelly and Salinas, 2017). Particularly problematic for fish, pathogenic bacteria that naturally reside in the aquatic environment can also form part of their microbiomes (e.g. Borchardt et al., 2003; Califano et al., 2017; Rivas et al., 2011; Rud et al., 2017) and cause disease if there is a shift in abundance (i.e., dysbiosis) (e.g. Hess et al., 2015). While the skin microbiome of unstressed fish is dominated by taxa known for their probiotic and antimicrobial activity, the microbiome of stressed fish is dominated by potential pathogens (see Boutin et al., 2013). Although mucosal surfaces, such as skin, gills and the gut, do act as primary barriers to disease (reviewed by Gómez and Balcázar, 2007), they can be affected by several pathogens (e.g. *Aeromonas septicemia*, see Balebona et al., 1998; Doukas et al., 1998), which may cause significant losses. Aquaculture practices also impact microbial communities in the epidermal mucosa of fish. Overcrowding and low oxygen concentrations, typical in fish farms, result in host stress and induce dysbiosis in the skin microbiome, facilitating the proliferation of opportunistic pathogens (e.g. Boutin et al., 2013). At the same time, infectious diseases that frequently affect farmed fish can also induce dysbiosis, generally favouring increased abundance of opportunistic bacteria creating complex feedback mechanisms (e.g. Llewellyn et al., 2017; Reid et al., 2017).

Seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and seabream (*Sparus aurata*) are the two most important fish species farmed in southern Europe; their productivity, however, is greatly affected by infectious diseases, which can account for losses of 15% to 40%, respectively (Lane et al., 2014). Given the role skin and gill microbiomes play in fish innate immunity (Gourzioti et al., 2016; Pellizzari et al., 2013) and the economic impact of diseases in fish aquaculture, characterizing the microbiomes of these two fishes is paramount. Additionally, anthropogenic stressors (e.g. rise of sea temperature and pollution) and farming conditions (e.g. high densities) aggravate bacterial diseases causing external lesions in skin and gills (e.g. photobacteriosis and vibriosis) of farmed seabass and seabream (e.g. Avendaño-Herrera et al., 2006; Bakopoulos et al., 2018; Frans et al., 2011; Gourzioti et al., 2016; Pellizzari et al., 2013; Weber et al., 2010). To this end, identifying potential fish pathogens could help to design more efficient prevention and treatment strategies. The first assessment of the skin microbiome of adult seabass and seabream showed that inter-individual variability was comparable to interspecific variability (Chiarello et al., 2015). Recently, Tapia-Paniagua et al. (2018) found a reduction in beneficial bacteria from the skin microbiomes of ulcerated compared to healthy seabream. Differences in microbiome diversity of the skin of seabass have also been assessed in three different fish farms located in Ria de Aveiro, northern Portugal (Pimentel et al., 2017). Despite high inter-individual variation, microbial composition was found to act as a unique signature of each

individual's geographic origin (Pimentel et al., 2017). Although the authors controlled for ontogenetic effects, which affect skin microbiome (e.g. Sylvain and Derome, 2017), they acknowledged that other factors, such as different farming practices and probiotic use, may have explained some of the observed differences (Pimentel et al., 2017). Moreover, previous disease history (e.g. Llewellyn et al., 2017; Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2018; Reid et al., 2017) and host physiology (e.g. Apprill et al., 2014) may have influenced the composition of fish skin microbiomes. Other key factor impacting microbial composition differences between groups is sample size and longitudinal (time) variation (Knight et al., 2018). Not surprisingly, skin and gill microbiome composition of seabass and seabream varied greatly between previous studies, as they have been cross-sectional (one time point) and included few individuals (Chiarello et al., 2015; Pimentel et al., 2017; Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2018).

In the present study, we monitored the microbiome composition and structure of the skin and gills of 30 seabass and 30 seabream healthy adults over winter (December to February) using 16S rRNA next-generation sequencing (MiSeq). Our main aims here were to characterize the baseline diversity of the skin and gill microbiomes of these two farmed species and identify potential pathogens or opportunistic bacteria.

## **2 Material and Methods**

### *2.1 Sample collection and preparation*

Thirty individuals of both seabass and seabream were collected in 19 of December 2016, 16 of January 2017 and 13 of February 2017 (10 specimens of each species per month) from a commercial fish farm located in an estuarine environment, the Ria Formosa (Portimão), southern Portugal. Seabass and seabream sampled were about 2 years old and individuals weighted on average, 384 g and 318 g. The fish were reared in two separate ponds, at a density of ca. 4.4 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (ca. 130 individual seabass) and 5.2 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (ca. 150 individual seabream), with the same open water circulation systems, thus subjected to the same environmental conditions. The mean water temperature 30 days before each sampling point was 16.6 °C, 15.3 °C and 14.4 °C, and the photoperiod for each sampling point was 9 h 35 min, 9 h 54 min and 10 h 45 min, respectively. All fish were fed with the same commercial feed and they shared the same clinical history. All fish were considered healthy, with no external lesions and no pathologies detected during the sampling period. Individuals were randomly caught from each tank using a fishing pole, and skin and gill swabs were collected using tubed sterile dry swabs (Medical Wire & Equipment, UK). Skin samples were taken by swabbing several times along the right upper lateral part of the fish from head to tail; gill swabs were taken from the right filaments between the first and second arch. Swabs were immediately stored at -20°C until transported on dry ice to the CIBIO laboratory by airmail where they were kept at -80°C until processing. DNA from a total of 120 samples (60 skin and 60 gills) was extracted using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (QIAGEN, Netherlands), following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA concentration was measured with the NanoDrop<sup>TM</sup> 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and extractions were sent on dry ice by airmail

to the University of Michigan Medical School (USA) for amplification and sequencing according to the protocol of Kozich et al. (2013). Each sample was amplified for the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene (~250 bp), which has been widely used to characterize microbiomes from vertebrates (Earth Microbiome Project, Gilbert et al., 2014), including fish (e.g. Carlson et al., 2017; Llewellyn et al., 2015; Nielsen et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). Amplicon libraries were sequenced in a single run of the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform.

## 2.2 Data and statistical analyses

Raw FASTQ files were analyzed using the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology 2 (QIIME2; release 2018.4) platform. Clean sequences were aligned against the SILVA (132 release) reference database (Quast et al., 2012) with DADA2 pipeline (Callahan et al., 2016). Samples were rarefied to the minimum read count (9,087) and a feature table containing amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) was constructed. ASVs with less than 0.01% of reads across samples were eliminated (Nelson et al., 2014). The core microbiome was assessed for the skin and gill of seabass and seabream, separately. An ASV was considered as part of the core microbiome if present in 100% of samples in each group. Rarefaction curves were performed to examine sampling depth (Supplementary Figure 1).

Microbial taxonomic alpha-diversity (intra-sample) was calculated using Shannon, ACE, Fisher and Faith's phylogenetic diversity (PD) indices as implemented in the R package phyloseq (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). Species beta-diversity (inter-sample) was estimated using phylogenetic Unifrac (unweighted and weighted) and Bray-Curtis distances. Dissimilarity between samples was assessed by principal coordinates analysis (PCoA). Variation in microbial alpha-diversity and taxa composition were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences in community composition (beta-diversity) were tested using permutational multivariate analysis of variance for Unweighted and Weighted Unifrac and Bray-Curtis indices with 1,000 permutations, as implemented in the *adonis* function of the R *vegan* package. In our microbiome statistical analyses we compared i) tissues within each fish species (skin x gills) and ii) fish species within each tissue (seabass x seabream) – see Table 2. We used the three sampling months (December to February) as temporal replicates, rendering a total of 30 microbiome samples per comparison per tissue. All analyses were performed in R studio v1.0.143 (Studio R, 2012).

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Taxonomic bacterial composition and core microbiome of seabass and seabream

Approximately 3.2 million raw reads were retrieved (1.7 million for seabass and 1.5 million for seabream) and the number of sequences per sample ranged between 9,087 and 3,537,652. These sequences corresponded to 8,136 unique ASVs, from which ASVs with less than 0.01% of sequences across all samples and ASVs belonging to Archaea were removed, resulting in 556 unique ASVs and 3,246,429 sequences. Of the 457 ASVs found in the skin of the seabass, only

24 were common to all individuals sampled, thus forming the core microbiome (Table 1). Of the 466 ASVs found on the gills of the seabass, only 7 were shared among all individuals. The same pattern was observed in the seabream, where 15 out of 532 skin ASVs and 2 out of 539 gill ASVs were present in all individuals (Table 1). These results highlight the high inter-individual variability found in both tissues, especially the gills (Table 1, Figures 1 and 2).

Of the total 19 bacteria phyla identified across all samples, *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were the most abundant in both tissues (Figure 1, Table 1). ASVs from four (*Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Chlamydiae* and *Verrucomicrobia*) of these 19 phyla formed part of the core microbiome (Figure 2). Moreover, the phyla *Dependentiae* (0.2% of ASVs and sequences) and *Patescibacteria* (0.2% of ASV, 0.1% of sequences) were unique to the microbiome of seabream, while the phyla *Spirochaetes* (0.2% of ASVs and 0.1% of sequences) was unique to the gill microbiome of seabass.

The phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* accounted for 69% to 72% of all ASVs and 62% to 87% of all sequences in both species and for 50% to 93% of all phyla in the core microbiomes (Figure 2, Table 1). It was possible to identify 106 families, from which ASVs belonging to 16 families formed the core microbiome of both species. Altogether, *Flavobacteriaceae* (*Bacteroidetes*), *Rhodobacteraeae* (*Proteobacteria*) and *Vibrionaceae* (*Proteobacteria*) accounted for 19% to 21% of ASVs, 17% to 51% of sequences, and 29% to 50% of all families in the core microbiome of both tissues (Figure 2, Table 1). From the 117 genera identified, ASVs belonging to 16 of these genera formed the core microbiome of both species. The NS3a marine group (4% - 12%), *Rubritalea* (4% - 13%) and *Pseudomonas* (4% - 8%) were the most abundant genera in the skin and gill of both species (Table 1). *Polaribacter* (7% - 50%) was highly abundant in both tissues, and *Polynucleobacter* (14%) and *Vibrio* (7%) were highly abundant in the gill of seabass and in the skin of seabream, respectively (Figure 2, Table 1).

### 3.3 Microbial diversity

When comparing the alpha-diversity of bacteria between tissues within each species, significant differences were detected between the skin and gills of seabass (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ; Table 2, Figure 3), but not for seabream (ANOVA,  $P > 0.05$ ; Table 2, Figure 3). The alpha-diversity of the skin microbiome was significantly different between the seabass and the seabream for all indexes (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ; Table 2), except the Shannon index (ANOVA,  $P = 0.4$ ; Table 2). On the other hand, the gill microbiomes were similar between species for all indices except PD (ANOVA,  $P = 0.03$ ; Table 2).

Analysis of the PCoA shows that species and tissues within species cluster separately and that there is a higher variation in the gill microbiomes when compared to the skin (Figure 4). There were significant differences in beta-diversity estimates between tissues within each species and between tissues across species (Adonis,  $P = 9.9 \times 10^{-5}$  for all; Table 2).

Mean proportions of bacterial taxa varied between the two fish species and tissues (Table 2). In the seabass, the abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, *Flavobacteriaceae*, NS3a marine group, *Rubritalea*, *Pseudomonas*, *Polaribacter* and *Polynucleobacter* were significantly different between the skin and gill (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ , Table 2). In the seabream, the mean proportion of *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Flavobacteriaceae*, *Rhodobacteriaceae*, *Vibrionaceae*, NS3a marine group, *Polaribacter*, *Polynucleobacter* and *Vibrio* varied significantly (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ ) between the skin and gill microbiomes (Table 2). Finally, *Proteobacteria*, *Vibrionaceae*, NS3a marine group, *Pseudomonas*, *Polaribacter*, *Polynucleobacter*, and *Vibrio* varied significantly between the skin microbiomes of seabass and seabream (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ , Table 2), while *Proteobacteria*, *Rhodobacteriaceae*, *Vibrionaceae*, NS3a marine group, *Rubritalea*, *Polaribacter* and *Polynucleobacter* varied significantly between their gill microbiomes (ANOVA,  $P < 0.05$ , Table 2).

## 4 Discussion

Characterizing the microbiome composition and structure of the mucosal surfaces of economically important fish species, such as the seabass and the seabream, is of paramount importance in order to detect imbalances and prevent potential disease outbreaks in fish farms. Here, we showed significant differences in both the composition and structure of the microbial communities residing in the skin and gills of seabass and seabream, which is in line with previous findings of both fish species (Chiarello et al., 2015). The skin microbiomes were found to be species-specific as in other fish species (e.g., the striped mullet, red snapper, spotted seatrout, sand seatrout, pinfish and Atlantic croaker; Larsen et al., 2013). Despite the high inter-individual variation, overall, the seabream microbiomes were less diverse than those of the seabass (Figure 3).

### 4.1 Core microbiome composition

*Proteobacteria* (50 - 60%) and *Bacteroidetes* (29 - 50%, Table 1) formed the main components of the skin and gill microbiomes of seabass and seabream. *Proteobacteria* is the most common phylum reported in the skin and gill microbiomes of teleosts (see for example the review by Llewellyn et al., 2014), including the skin microbiome of seabass and seabream (Chiarello et al., 2015; Pimentel et al., 2017; Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2018). A predominance of the phylum *Bacteroidetes* has also been previously reported in seabass and seabream (Chiarello et al., 2015; Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2018), as well as in the skin of many other fishes, such as in the brook char (Boutin et al., 2014), rainbow trout (Lowrey et al., 2015), channel catfish (Larsen et al., 2014), tambaqui (Sylvain et al., 2016), among others (see Doane et al., 2017; Larsen et al., 2013; Larsen et al., 2015; Legrand et al., 2018; Leonard et al., 2014). The gill microbiome of the bluefin tuna (Valdenegro-Vega et al., 2013), rainbow trout (Lowrey et al., 2015) and yellowtail kingfish (Legrand et al., 2018) were also found to be dominated by *Bacteroidetes*.

In the present study, from the 16 genera identified in the core microbiome of the skin and gill of adult seabass and seabream, the highest percentage of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) belonged to the NS3a marine group, *Rubritalea* and *Pseudomonas* genera. Besides these three, the microbiome of seabass also exhibited an elevated abundance of the genera *Polaribacter*, *Polynucleobacter* and *Arcobacter*; while the microbiome of seabream included high abundance of *Polaribacter* and *Vibrio*. The genus *Pseudomonas* has been previously reported to be highly represented in the skin microbiome of seabass (Pimentel et al., 2017), cod (Wilson et al., 2008), mosquitofish (Leonard et al., 2014), gulf killifish (Larsen et al., 2015) and others (see Colwell and Liston, 1962; Horsley, 1973; Horsley, 1977; Larsen et al., 2013). However, we found some differences in microbial composition at the genus level in comparison with previous studies of seabass and seabream; Tapia-Paniagua et al. (2018), for example, found *Staphylococcus* and *Lactobacillus* to be the most abundant in the skin microbiome of seabream. This is not unexpected since, the skin microbiome of seabass comprises genera that are unique signatures of specific earth growth ponds, even though these ponds were geographically close (Pimentel et al., 2017). Besides spatial variation in fish location, environmental conditions (such as water temperatures and water supply [e.g. Lokesh and Kiron, 2016; Tapia-Paniagua et al., 2018]), host physiology and even clinical history (Apprill et al., 2014; Llewellyn et al., 2017) could contribute to explain the observed differences.

Ontogenetic shifts in microbiome composition have been described in several fish species (e.g. Atlantic salmon, Llewellyn et al., 2015; Zarkasi et al., 2014; Zebrafish, Stephens et al., 2016; discus, Sylvain and Derome, 2017). The larval microbiome tends to reflect more the microbial community of the surrounding water (Stephens et al., 2016; Sylvain and Derome, 2017), while adult fish harbour a more adapted and stable microbial community (e.g. Llewellyn et al., 2015). Califano et al. (2017) even reported an increase in the microbiome composition of seabream larvae between day 2 and day 34. This pattern, however, is far from being universal as decreased diversity with age has been reported in other fish species (Stephens et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2016).

As with most microbiome research, it is important to note any methodological differences that might explain variation. One of such methodological differences relates to our skin sampling method; Chiarello et al. (2015) used tissue from different fins, while in the present study and in Tapia-Paniagua et al. (2018) and Pimentel et al. (2017) we targeted skin mucous. Lowrey et al. (2015) uncovered high diversity of bacteria in the different dermal layers of skin, suggesting that mucosal diversity is an underestimation of the actual skin microbial diversity. Moreover, specifically for this study, the sequenced 16S variable region and the sequencing platform might have impacted taxonomic assignment. We sequenced the V4 region by synthesis (MiSeq), while Chiarello et al. (2015), Pimentel et al. (2017) and Tapia-Paniagua et al. (2018) used different combinations of other 16S regions and sequencing platforms (pyrosequencing and sequencing by synthesis). While differences in outputs provided by different sequencing methods are widely acknowledged (e.g. Frey et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014), debate regarding the most appropriate region for microbiome studies is still ongoing (e.g.



Guo et al., 2013; Mizrahi-Man et al., 2013). Finally, these results are likely to have been affected by the different analytical pipelines used to analyze the sequence data - amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) in this study versus Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) in previous studies.

#### 4.2 Potential pathogens detected in the core microbiomes

Several ASVs belonging to genera comprising opportunistic and potential pathogenic bacteria were recovered from the skin and gill core microbiomes of apparently healthy individuals of seabass and seabream. *Polaribacter* is one such taxa, recovered from the skin and gills of both species (Figure 2, Table 1). This genus is often found in diseased fishes, being considered opportunistic and colonizing already weakened hosts (Bornø and Linaker, 2015). Species from this genus seem to be common in fish farms and have been reported in the water and biofilm of recirculating and semi-closed aquaculture systems rearing Atlantic salmon, turbot and the Senegalese sole (Martins et al., 2013; Rud et al., 2017). The genus *Vibrio*, present in the gills of seabass and in both the gills and skin core microbiomes of seabream, harbours species associated with several diseases in these fish and many are considered opportunistic pathogens (e.g. Pujalte et al., 2003a; Weber et al., 2010). *Vibrio anguillarum* and *V. alginolyticus*, for example, cause skin lesions, and *V. splendidus* has been involved in several disease outbreaks (e.g. Frans et al., 2011; Pujalte et al., 2003a). *V. harveyi* is another important pathogen causing tail rot disease in farmed seabream (Austin and Zhang, 2006; Haldar et al., 2010), comprising many strains that are fatal to seabass (Pujalte et al., 2003b). However, due to taxonomic assignment limitations, it was not possible to ascertain to which species these ASVs belonged to and if they are, indeed, pathogenic. If so, the high prevalence of these ASVs means that, in case of dysbiosis, these bacteria may overgrow and impact fish health.

## 5 Conclusion

The skin and gills of fish are exposed to many pathogens present in the marine and freshwater environment and represent an important barrier preventing pathogen invasion (e.g. Trivedi, 2012). The links between microbiome composition and disease resistance are now well established in mammals and teleosts (e.g. Britton et al., 2014; Gómez and Balcázar 2007; Gomez et al., 2013; Kelly and Salinas, 2017), and alterations in the microbiome often precede the onset of disease (reviewed by Munang'andu et al., 2018). Microbial imbalance, however, is not easily detected unless baseline information regarding microbiome composition and structure are established. Here, we describe the skin and gills microbiomes of farmed healthy seabass and seabream adults through three sampling months, thus yielding a more robust assessment of the microbiome of these two species. Our results show that seabass and seabream host different microbiomes despite sharing the same environment. Furthermore, high levels of intra- and inter-individual variability were found across tissues. Additionally, several potential pathogens were detected in the core microbiome of both species, which could lead to potential disease outbreaks during dysbiosis.

## **Acknowledgements**

This work was funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through the COMPETE program and by National Funds through FCT - Foundation for Science and Technology (project PTDC/MAR-BIO/0902/2014 -POCI-01-0145-FEDER-016550; project POCI-01-0145-FEDER-027995; and by a “Projecto de Investigação Exploratória”: IF/00764/2013); the Welsh Government and Higher Education Funding Council for Wales (HEFCW) AquaWales Project through the Sêr Cymru National Research Network for Low Carbon Energy and Environment (NRN-LCEE). DR, MPL and RX are supported by FCT under the Programa Operacional Potencial Humano – Quadro de Referência Estratégico Nacional funds from the European Social Fund and Portuguese Ministério da Educação e Ciência (DR doctoral grant SFRH/BD/117943/2016; MPL: IF/00764/2013; RX: IF/00359/2015).

## References

- Apprill, A., Robbins, J., Eren, A.M., Pack, A.A., Reveillaud, J., Mattila, D., Moore, M., Niemeyer, M., Moore, K.M. and Mincer, T.J., 2014. Humpback whale populations share a core skin bacterial community: towards a health index for marine mammals? PLoS One, 9(3), e90785.
- Arpaia, N., Campbell, C., Fan, X., Dikiy, S., van der Veeken, J., Liu, H., Cross, J.R., Pfeffer, K., Coffey, P.J. and Rudensky, A.Y., 2013. Metabolites produced by commensal bacteria promote peripheral regulatory T-cell generation. Nature, 504(7480), 451.
- Austin, B. and Zhang, X.H., 2006. *Vibrio harveyi*: a significant pathogen of marine vertebrates and invertebrates. Lett Appl Microbiol, 43(2), 119-124.
- Avendaño-Herrera, R., Toranzo, A.E., and Magariños, B. 2006. Tenacibaculosis infection in marine fish caused by *Tenacibaculum maritimum*: a review. Dis Aquat Organ, 71(3), 255-266.
- Balcázar, J.L., De Blas, I., Ruiz-Zarzuela, I., Cunningham, D., Vendrell, D., and Múzquiz, J.L., 2006. The role of probiotics in aquaculture. Vet Microbiol, 114(3-4), 173-186.
- Balebona, M.C., Zorrilla, I., Moriñigo, M.A. and Borrego, J.J., 1998. Survey of bacterial pathologies affecting farmed gilt-head sea bream (*Sparus aurata* L.) in southwestern Spain from 1990 to 1996. Aquaculture, 166(1-2), 19-35.
- Bakopoulos, V., Kosma, I., and Laspa, E. 2018. Quantitative and qualitative analysis of sea bream, *Sparus aurata* (L.), humoral immune response, vaccinated with commercial and experimental vaccines against vibriosis and photobacteriosis. J Mar Biol Assoc UK, 98(1), 105-115.
- Belcheva, A., Irrazabal, T., Robertson, S.J., Streutker, C., Maughan, H., Rubino, S., Moriyama, E.H., Copeland, J.K., Surendra, A., Kumar, S., Green, B., 2014. Gut microbial metabolism drives transformation of MSH2-deficient colon epithelial cells. Cell, 158(2), 288-299.
- Belkaid, Y., and Hand, T.W., 2014. Role of the microbiota in immunity and inflammation. Cell, 157(1), 121-141.
- Borchardt, M.A., Bertz, P.D., Spencer, S.K., Battigelli, D. A., 2003. Incidence of enteric viruses in groundwater from household wells in Wisconsin. Appl Environ Microbiol, 69(2), 1172-1180.
- Börnigen, D., Morgan, X.C., Franzosa, E.A., Ren, B., Xavier, R.J., Garrett, W.S., Huttenhower, C., 2013. Functional profiling of the gut microbiome in disease-associated inflammation. Genome Med, 5(7), 65.
- Bornø, G., and Linaker, M.L., 2015. The health situation in Norwegian aquaculture 2014. Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Harstad.
- Boutin, S., Bernatchez, L., Audet, C., Derôme, N., 2013. Network analysis highlights complex interactions between pathogen, host and commensal microbiota. PLoS One, 8(12), e84772.
- Boutin, S., Sauvage, C., Bernatchez, L., Audet, C., Derome, N., 2014. Inter individual variations of the fish skin microbiota: host genetics basis of mutualism? PLoS One, 9(7), e102649.

- Britton, R.A., Young, V.B., 2014. Role of the intestinal microbiota in resistance to colonization by *Clostridium difficile*. *Gastroenterology*, 146(6), 1547-1553.
- Cabello, F.C., Godfrey, H.P., Tomova, A., Ivanova, L., Dölz, H., Millanao, A., Buschmann, A.H., 2013. Antimicrobial use in aquaculture re-examined: its relevance to antimicrobial resistance and to animal and human health. *Environ Microbiol*, 15(7), 1917-1942.
- Califano, G., Castanho, S., Soares, F., Ribeiro, L., Cox, C.J., Mata, L. Costa, R., 2017. Molecular taxonomic profiling of bacterial communities in a gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) hatchery. *Front Microbiol*, 8, p.204.
- Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., and Holmes, S.P. 2016. DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods*, 13(7), 581.
- Carlson, J.M., Leonard, A.B., Hyde, E.R., Petrosino, J.F., and Primm, T.P. 2017. Microbiome disruption and recovery in the fish *Gambusia affinis* following exposure to broad-spectrum antibiotic. *Infect Drug Resist*, 10, 143.
- Chiarello, M., Villéger, S., Bouvier, C., Bettarel, Y., and Bouvier, T. 2015. High diversity of skin-associated bacterial communities of marine fishes is promoted by their high variability among body parts, individuals and species. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 91(7).
- Colwell, R.R., and Liston, J., 1962. Bacterial flora of seven species of fish collected at Rongelap and Eniwetok Atolls. *Pac Sci*, 16, 264–270.
- de Bruijn, I., Liu, Y., Wiegertjes, G.F., Raaijmakers, J.M., 2017. Exploring fish microbial communities to mitigate emerging diseases in aquaculture. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 94(1), fix161.
- Doane, M.P., Haggerty, J.M., Kacev, D., Papudeshi, B., Dinsdale, E.A., 2017. The skin microbiome of the common thresher shark (*Alopias vulpinus*) has low taxonomic and gene function  $\beta$ -diversity. *Environ Microbiol Rep*, 9(4), 357-373.
- Doukas, V., Athanassopoulou, F., Karagouni, E., Dotsika, E., 1998. *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in cultured sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* L., and *Puntazzo puntazzo* Cuvier from the Aegean Sea. *J Fish Dis*, 21(4), 317-320.
- Drisko, J.A., Giles, C.K., Bischoff, B.J., 2003. Probiotics in health maintenance and disease prevention. *Altern Med Rev*, 8(2), 143-155.
- Dunn, K.A., Moore-Connors, J., MacIntyre, B., Stadnyk, A., Thomas, N.A., Noble, A., Mahdi, G., Rashid, M., Otley, A.R., Bielawski, J.P., Van Limbergen, J., 2016. The Gut Microbiome of Pediatric Crohn's Disease Patients Differs from Healthy Controls in Genes That Can Influence the Balance Between a Healthy and Dysregulated Immune Response. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*, 22(11), 2607-2618.

- Eichler, S., Christen, R., Hölzle, C., Westphal, P., Bötel, J., Brettar, I., Mehling, A. Höfle, M.G., 2006. Composition and dynamics of bacterial communities of a drinking water supply system as assessed by RNA-and DNA-based 16S rRNA gene fingerprinting. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 72(3), 1858-1872.
- Esteban, M., 2012. An overview of the immunological defenses in fish skin. *ISRN Immunol*, 2012.
- Fouz, B., Toranzo, A.E., Milan, M., Amaro, C., 2000. Evidence that water transmits the disease caused by the fish pathogen *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *damsela*. *J Appl Microbiol*, 88(3), 531-535.
- Frans, I., Michiels, C.W., Bossier, P., Willems, K.A., Lievens, B., and Rediers, H. 2011. *Vibrio anguillarum* as a fish pathogen: virulence factors, diagnosis and prevention. *J Fish Dis*, 34(9), 643-661.
- Frey, K.G., Herrera-Galeano, J.E., Redden, C.L., Luu, T.V., Servetas, S.L., Mateczun, A.J., Mokashi, V.P. and Bishop-Lilly, K.A. 2014. Comparison of three next-generation sequencing platforms for metagenomic sequencing and identification of pathogens in blood. *BMC Genomics*, 15(1), 96.
- Gilbert, J.A., Jansson, J.K., and Knight, R., 2014. The Earth Microbiome project: successes and aspirations. *BMC Biol*, 12(1), 69.
- Gómez, G.D., and Balcázar, J.L., 2007. A review on the interactions between gut microbiota and innate immunity of fish. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*, 52(2), 145-154.
- Gomez, D., Sunyer, J.O., and Salinas, I., 2013. The mucosal immune system of fish: the evolution of tolerating commensals while fighting pathogens. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*, 35(6), 1729-1739.
- Gourzioti, E., Kolygas, M.N., Athanassopoulou, F., and Babili, V. 2016. Tenacibaculosis in aquaculture farmed marine fish. *J Hell Vet Med Soc*, 67(1), 21-32.
- Guo, F., Ju, F., Cai, L., and Zhang, T., 2013. Taxonomic precision of different hypervariable regions of 16S rRNA gene and annotation methods for functional bacterial groups in biological wastewater treatment. *PloS One*, 8(10), e76185.
- Hahn, M.W., Lang, E., Brandt, U., Spröer, C., 2011) *Polynucleobacter acidiphobus* sp. nov., a representative of an abundant group of planktonic freshwater bacteria. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*, 61(4), 788-794.
- Haldar, S., Maharajan, A., Chatterjee, S., Hunter, S.A., Chowdhury, N., Hinenoya, A., Asakura, M., Yamasaki, S., 2010. Identification of *Vibrio harveyi* as a causative bacterium for a tail rot disease of sea bream *Sparus aurata* from research hatchery in Malta. *Microbiol Res*, 165(8), 639-648.
- Hennessdorf, P., Kleinertz, S., Theisen, S., Abdul-Aziz, M.A., Mrotzek, G., Palm, H.W., Saluz, H.P., 2016. Microbial diversity and parasitic load in tropical fish of different environmental conditions. *PloS One*, 11(3), e0151594.
- Hess, S., Wenger, A.S., Ainsworth, T.D., and Rummer, J.L., 2015. Exposure of clownfish larvae to suspended sediment levels found on the Great Barrier Reef: impacts on gill structure and microbiome. *Sci Rep*, 5, 10561.
- Horsley, R.W., 1973. The bacterial flora of the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) in relation to its environment. *J Appl Bacteriol*, 36, 377-386.

Horsley, R.W., 1977. A review on the bacterial flora of teleosts and elamobrachs, includings methods for its analysis. J Fish Biol, 10, 529–553.

Human Microbiome Project, C., 2012. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature, 486, 207-214. doi: 10.1038/nature11234.

Jennings, S., Stentiford, G.D., Leocadio, A.M., Jeffery, K.R., Metcalfe, J.D., Katsiadaki, I., Auchterlonie, N.A., Mangi, S.C., Pinnegar, J.K., Ellis, T., Peeler, E.J., 2016. Aquatic food security: insights into challenges and solutions from an analysis of interactions between fisheries, aquaculture, food safety, human health, fish and human welfare, economy and environment. Fish and Fisheries, 17(4), 893-938.

Jezbera, J., Jezberová, J., Brandt, U., Hahn, M.W., 2011. Ubiquity of *Polynucleobacter necessarius* subspecies *asymbioticus* results from ecological diversification. Environ Microbiol, 13(4), 922-931.

Kelly, C., Salinas, I., 2017. Under pressure: interactions between commensal microbiota and the teleost immune system. Front Immunol, 8, 559.

Knight, R., Vrbanc, A., Taylor, B.C., Aksenov, A., Callewaert, C., Debelius, J., Gonzalez, A., Kosciolk, T., McCall, L.I., McDonald, D. and Melnik, A.V., 2018. Best practices for analysing microbiomes. Nat Rev Microbiol, 1.

Koeth, R.A., Wang, Z., Levison, B.S., Buffa, J.A., Org, E., Sheehy, B.T., Britt, E.B., Fu, X., Wu, Y., Li, L., Smith, J.D., 2013. Intestinal microbiota metabolism of L-carnitine, a nutrient in red meat, promotes atherosclerosis. Nat Med, 19(5), 576.

Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S.L., Baxter, N.T., Highlander, S.K., Schloss, P.D., 2013. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Microbiol, 79(17), 5112-5120.

Lane, A., Hough, C., Bostok, J., 2014. The long term economic and ecological impact of larger sustainable aquaculture. Policy Department: Structural and Cohesion Policies.

Larsen, A., Tao, Z., Bullard, S.A., Arias, C.R., 2013. Diversity of the skin microbiota of fishes: evidence for host species specificity. FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 85(3), 483-494.

Larsen, A.M., Mohammed, H.H., Arias, C.R., 2014. Characterization of the gut microbiota of three commercially valuable warmwater fish species. J Appl Microbiol, 116(6), 1396-1404.

Larsen, A.M., Bullard, S.A., Womble, M., Arias, C.R., 2015. Community structure of skin microbiome of gulf killifish, *Fundulus grandis*, is driven by seasonality and not exposure to oiled sediments in a Louisiana salt marsh. Microb Ecol, 70(2), 534-544.

Legrand, T.P., Catalano, S.R., Wos-Oxley, M.L., Stephens, F., Landos, M., Bansemer, M.S., Stone, D.A., Qin, J.G. Oxley, A., 2018. The Inner Workings of the Outer Surface: Skin and Gill Microbiota as Indicators of Changing Gut Health in Yellowtail Kingfish. Front Microbiol, 8, 2664.

Leonard, A.B., Carlson, J.M., Bishoff, D.E., Sendelbach, S.I., Yung, S.B., Ramzanali, S., Manage, A.B., Hyde, E.R., Petrosino, J.F., Primm, T.P., 2014. The skin microbiome of *Gambusia affinis* is defined and selective. *Adv Microbiol*, 4(07), 335.

Li, J.Z., Chapman, B., Charlebois, P., Hofmann, O., Weiner, B., Porter, A.J., Samuel, R., Vardhanabhuti, S., Zheng, L., Eron, J. and Taiwo, B. 2014. Comparison of illumina and 454 deep sequencing in participants failing raltegravir-based antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS One*, 9(3), e90485.

Llewellyn, M.S., Boutin, S., Hoseinifar, S.H., Derome, N., 2014. Teleost microbiomes: the state of the art in their characterization, manipulation and importance in aquaculture and fisheries. *Front Microbiol*, 5, 117.

Llewellyn, M.S., Leadbeater, S., Garcia, C., Sylvain, F.E., Custodio, M., Ang, K.P., Powell, F., Carvalho, G.R., Creer, S., Elliot, J. Derome, N., 2017. Parasitism perturbs the mucosal microbiome of Atlantic Salmon. *Sci Rep*, 7, 43465.

Llewellyn, M.S., McGinnity, P., Dionne, M., Letourneau, J., Thonier, F., Carvalho, G.R., Creer, S., Derome, N., 2015. The biogeography of the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) gut microbiome. *ISME J*, 10(5), 1280.

Lokesh, J., and Kiron, V., 2016. Transition from freshwater to seawater reshapes the skin-associated microbiota of Atlantic salmon. *Sci Rep*, 6, 19707.

Lowrey, L., Woodhams, D.C., Tacchi, L., Salinas, I., 2015. Topographical mapping of the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) microbiome reveals a diverse bacterial community with antifungal properties in the skin. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 81(19), 6915-6925.

Lynch, S.V., and Pedersen, O., 2016. The human intestinal microbiome in health and disease. *N Eng J Med*, 375(24), 2369-2379.

Martins, P., Cleary, D.F., Pires, A.C., Rodrigues, A.M., Quintino, V., Calado, R., Gomes, N.C., 2013. Molecular analysis of bacterial communities and detection of potential pathogens in a recirculating aquaculture system for *Scophthalmus maximus* and *Solea senegalensis*. *PloS One*, 8(11), e80847.

McMurdie, P.J., and Holmes, S., 2013. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS One*, 8(4), e61217.

Mennerat A., Nilsen F., Ebert D, Skorping A., 2010. Intensive Farming: Evolutionary Implications for Parasites and Pathogens. *Evol Biol*, 37, 5967.

Michl, S.C., Ratten, J.M., Beyer, M., Hasler, M., LaRoche, J. Schulz, C., 2017. The malleable gut microbiome of juvenile rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): Diet-dependent shifts of bacterial community structures. *PloS One*, 12(5), p.e0177735.

Mizrahi-Man, O., Davenport, E.R., and Gilad, Y., 2013. Taxonomic classification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes using short sequencing reads: evaluation of effective study designs. *PloS One*, 8(1), e53608.

Moreira, M., Schrama, D., Soares, F., Wulff, T., Pousão-Ferreira, P., Rodrigues, P., 2017. Physiological responses of reared sea bream (*Sparus aurata* Linnaeus, 1758) to an *Amyloodinium ocellatum* outbreak. J Fish Dis, 40(11), 1545-1560.

Munang'andu, H.M., Galindo-Villegas, J., and David, L., 2018. Teleosts Genomics: Progress and Prospects in Disease Prevention and Control. Int J Mol Sci, 19(4), 1083.

Nelson, M.C., Morrison, H.G., Benjamino, J., Grim, S.L., Graf, J., 2014. Analysis, optimization and verification of Illumina-generated 16S rRNA gene amplicon surveys. PloS One, 9(4), e94249.

Nielsen, S., Walburn, J.W., Vergés, A., Thomas, T., and Egan, S., 2017. Microbiome patterns across the gastrointestinal tract of the rabbitfish *Siganus fuscescens*. Peer J, 5, e3317.

Parris, D.J., Brooker, R.M., Morgan, M.A., Dixon, D.L., Stewart, F.J., 2016. Whole gut microbiome composition of damselfish and cardinalfish before and after reef settlement. Peer J, 4, e2412.

Pellizzari, C., Krasnov, A., Afanasyev, S., Vitulo, N., Franch, R., Pegolo, S., Patarnello, T. and Bargelloni, L. 2013. High mortality of juvenile gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) from photobacteriosis is associated with alternative macrophage activation and anti-inflammatory response: results of gene expression profiling of early responses in the head kidney. Fish Shellfish Immunol, 34(5), 1269-1278.

Pérez-Losada, M., Castro-Nallar, E., Bendall, M.L., Freishtat, R.J., Crandall, K.A., 2015. Dual transcriptomic profiling of host and microbiota during health and disease in pediatric asthma. PloS One, 10(6), e0131819.

Pérez-Losada, M., Graham, R.J., Coquillette, M., Jafarey, A., Castro-Nallar, E., Aira, M., Hoptay, C., Freishtat, R., Mansbach, J.M., 2018. The Tracheal Microbiota in Patients with a Tracheostomy Before, During, and After an Acute Respiratory Infection. Pediatr Infect Dis J.

Petrof, E.O., Gloor, G.B., Vanner, S.J., Weese, S.J., Carter, D., Daigneault, M.C., Brown, E.M., Schroeter, K., Allen-Vercoe, E., 2013. Stool substitute transplant therapy for the eradication of *Clostridium difficile* infection: 'RePOOPulating' the gut. Microbiome, 1(1), 3.

Pujalte, M.J., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Alvarez-Pellitero, P., Garay, E., 2003a. Carriage of potentially fish-pathogenic bacteria in *Sparus aurata* cultured in Mediterranean fish farms. Dis Aquat Organ, 54(2), 119-126.

Pujalte, M.J., Sitjà-Bobadilla, A., Macián, M.C., Belloch, C., Alvarez-Pellitero, P., Pérez-Sánchez, J., Uruburu, F. Garay, E., 2003b. Virulence and molecular typing of *Vibrio harveyi* strains isolated from cultured dentex, gilthead sea bream and European sea bass. Syst Appl Microbiol, 26(2), 284-292.

Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J. and Glöckner, F.O., 2012. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Research, 41(D1), D590-D596.



Rawls, J.F., Mahowald, M.A., Ley, R.E., Gordon, J.I., 2006. Reciprocal gut microbiota transplants from zebrafish and mice to germ-free recipients reveal host habitat selection. *Cell*, 127(2), 423-433.

Reid, K.M., Patel, S., Robinson, A.J., Bu, L., Jarungsriapisit, J., Moore, L.J., Salinas, I., 2017. Salmonid alphavirus infection causes skin dysbiosis in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) post-smolts. *PloS One*, 12(3), e0172856.

Rivas, A.J., Balado, M., Lemos, M.L., Osorio, C.R., 2011. The *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *damsela* hemolysins damselysin and HlyA are encoded within a new virulence plasmid. *Infect Immun*, 79(11), 4617-4627.

Rud, I., Kolarevic, J., Holan, A.B., Berget, I., Calabrese, S., Terjesen, B.F., 2017. Deep-sequencing of the bacterial microbiota in commercial-scale recirculating and semi-closed aquaculture systems for Atlantic salmon post-smolt production. *Aquacult Eng*, 78, 50-62.

Schmidt, V., Amaral-Zettler, L., Davidson, J., Summerfelt, S., Good, C., 2016. Influence of fishmeal-free diets on microbial communities in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) recirculation aquaculture systems. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 82(15), 4470-4481.

Sevellec, M., Pavey, S.A., Boutin, S., Filteau, M., Derome, N., Bernatchez, L., 2014. Microbiome investigation in the ecological speciation context of lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) using next-generation sequencing. *J Evol Biol*, 27(6), 1029-1046.

Shreiner, A.B., Kao, J.Y., Young, V.B., 2015. The gut microbiome in health and in disease. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol*, 31(1), 69.

Silva, F.C.D.P., Nicoli, J.R., Zambonino-Infante, J.L., Kaushik, S., Gatesoupe, F.J., 2011. Influence of the diet on the microbial diversity of faecal and gastrointestinal contents in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) and intestinal contents in goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 78(2), 285-296.

Stephens, W.Z., Burns, A.R., Stagaman, K., Wong, S., Rawls, J.F., Guillemin, K., Bohannon, B.J., 2016. The composition of the zebrafish intestinal microbial community varies across development. *ISME J*, 10(3), 644.

Studio, R., 2012. RStudio: integrated development environment for R. RStudio Inc, Boston, Massachusetts.

Sylvain, F.É., Derome, N., 2017. Vertically and horizontally transmitted microbial symbionts shape the gut microbiota ontogenesis of a skin-mucus feeding discus fish progeny. *Sci Rep*, 7(1), 5263.

Sylvain, F.É., Cheaib, B., Llewellyn, M., Correia, T.G., Fagundes, D.B., Val, A.L., Derome, N., 2016. pH drop impacts differentially skin and gut microbiota of the Amazonian fish tambaqui (*Colossoma macropomum*). *Sci Rep*, 6, 32032.

Tapia-Paniagua, S.T., Ceballos-Francisco, D., Balebona, M.C., Esteban, M.Á., and Moriñigo, M.Á., 2018. Mucus glycosylation, immunity and bacterial microbiota associated to the skin of experimentally ulcerated gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol*, 75, 381-390.

Trivedi B., 2012. Microbiome: The surface brigade. *Nature*, 492: S60-S61. doi:10.1038/492S60a.

Valdenegro-Vega, V., Naeem, S., Carson, J., Bowman, J.P., Tejedor del Real, J.L., Nowak, B., 2013. Culturable microbiota of ranched southern bluefin tuna (*Thunnus maccoyii* Castelnau). J Appl Microbiol, 115(4), 923-932.

Váradi, L., Lane, A., Harache, Y., Gyalog, G., Békefi, E., Lengyel, P., 2010. Regional Review on Status and Trends in Aquaculture Development in Europe. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Circular, 1061/1, 257 p.

Wang, J., Tao, Q., Wang, Z., Mai, K., Xu, W., Zhang, Y., and Ai, Q., 2017. Effects of fish meal replacement by soybean meal with supplementation of functional compound additives on intestinal morphology and microbiome of Japanese seabass (*Lateolabrax japonicus*). Aquacult Res, 48(5), 2186-2197.

Weber, B., Chen, C., and Milton, D.L. 2010. Colonization of fish skin is vital for *Vibrio anguillarum* to cause disease. Environ Microbiol Rep, 2(1), 133-139.

Wilson, B., Danilowicz, B.S. and Meijer, W.G., 2008. The diversity of bacterial communities associated with Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*. Microb Ecol, 55, 425–434.

Xing, M., Hou, Z., Yuan, J., Liu, Y., Qu, Y., and Liu, B., 2013. Taxonomic and functional metagenomic profiling of gastrointestinal tract microbiome of the farmed adult turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 86(3), 432-443.

Xu, Z., Takizawa, F., Parra, D., Gómez, D., von Gersdorff Jørgensen, L., LaPatra, S.E., and Sunyer, J.O., 2016. Mucosal immunoglobulins at respiratory surfaces mark an ancient association that predates the emergence of tetrapods. Nat Commun, 7, 10728.

Yan, Q., Li, J., Yu, Y., Wang, J., He, Z., Van Nostrand, J.D., Kempfer, M.L., Wu, L., Wang, Y., Liao, L., Li, X., 2016. Environmental filtering decreases with fish development for the assembly of gut microbiota. Environ Microbiol, 18(12), 4739-4754.

Yu, E., Xie, J., Wang, J., Ako, H., Wang, G., Chen, Z., Liu, Y., 2016. Surface-attached and suspended bacterial community structure as affected by C/N ratios: relationship between bacteria and fish production. World J Microbiol Biotechnol, 32(7), 116.

Zarkasi, K.Z., Abell, G.C., Taylor, R.S., Neuman, C., Hatje, E., Tamplin, M.L., Katouli, M., Bowman, J.P., 2014. Pyrosequencing-based characterization of gastrointestinal bacteria of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) within a commercial mariculture system. J Appl Microbiol, 117(1), 18-27.

Zhang, M., Sun, Y., Chen, L., Cai, C., Qiao, F., Du, Z., Li, E., 2016a. Symbiotic bacteria in gills and guts of Chinese mitten crab (*Eriocheir sinensis*) differ from the free-living bacteria in water. PloS One, 11(1), e0148135.

Zhang, H., Sun, Z., Liu, B., Xuan, Y., Jiang, M., Pan, Y., Zhang, Y., Gong, Y., Lu, X., Yu, D. Kumar, D., 2016b. Dynamic changes of microbial communities in *Litopenaeus vannamei* cultures and the effects of environmental factors. Aquaculture, 455, 97-108.